Example Model 1 (B): Teacher with multiple SLOs including an SLO with a State-provided growth measure

7<sup>th</sup> grade Math and Science teacher with 130 students across 5 sections: two 7<sup>th</sup> grade Math sections with 30 students each; two 7<sup>th</sup> grade Science sections with 25 students each; one Advanced 7<sup>th</sup> grade Science section with 20 students.

Applying rules about which SLOs must be created for this teacher:

- There is a State-provided growth measure for 7<sup>th</sup> grade Math so it must be used.
- Fewer than 50% of this teacher's students are covered by the State-provided measure, so SLOs are created.
- First, this teacher will have an SLO using his/her student's growth on State-provided measures in 7<sup>th</sup> grade Math. The same State-provided measure and HEDI scores will apply to this SLO that would apply if the teacher had ONLY State-provided measures. This SLO will cover 60 students; however this is not a majority of the teacher's 130 students.
- A second SLO must be included for the next largest course/assessment, which is 7<sup>th</sup> grade Science. This covers 50 more students and a majority of students are now covered. (60+50=110 and 110/130= approx 85% of students covered).

Students scores on 6 <sup>th</sup> rade Math assessment  80% of students across oth sections scored roficient or better on the grade science test All students took the istrict developed pre-	(Same as any teacher with this provided measure) - All of my 7 <sup>th</sup> grade Math stude will demonstrate growth at least equal to the average of similar students State-wide on the 7 <sup>th</sup> g Math State assessment (Approved by evaluator) - 80% of students who scored a 2 on the District developed presassessment will score a Level 3 District developed performance - 80% of students who scored a	measure: sco of 16 points, st Effective  - 85% who scored a Level improved to on the Level 3 or hig	- Meets (evaluator considers this to be a lower meets since
oth sections scored roficient or better on <sup>th</sup> grade science test All students took the istrict developed pre-	Math State assessment  (Approved by evaluator) - 80% of students who scored a 2 on the District developed pre- assessment will score a Level 3 District developed performance	- 85% who scored a Levi- improved to on the Level 3 or hig	el 2 considers this to be a a lower meets since gher the educator scored
oth sections scored roficient or better on <sup>th</sup> grade science test All students took the istrict developed pre-	- 80% of students who scored a 2 on the District developed pre- assessment will score a Level 3 District developed performance	scored a Levi improved to on the Level 3 or high	el 2 considers this to be a a lower meets since gher the educator scored
ssessment and scored the Level 2 or 3 range Component Rating	3 on the pre-assessment will so Level 4 on the performance tas Effective: 14 points (in range of SLOs are weighted proport included in all SLOs. This wi	ore a improved to Level 4  F9-17 points) ionately based on the ill provide for one over	el 3
	Step 1: (assess results	SLO 1 • 16/20 points	• 14/20 points • Effective
	Step 2: (weight each SLO proportionately)	60 students / 110 TOTAL students = 55% of overall	50 students / 110 TOTAL students = 45% of overall
	Step 3: (calculate proportional points for each SLO)	16 points x 55% = 9 points	11 points x 45% = 5 points
		included in all SLOs. This w score between 0-20 points  Step 1: (assess results of each SLO separately)  Step 2: (weight each SLO proportionately)  Step 3: (calculate proportional points for each SLO)	Step 1: (assess results of each SLO separately) • Effective  Step 2: (weight each SLO proportionately) TOTAL students = 55% of overall  Step 3: (calculate 16 points x 55% = proportional points for 9 points